

## Commentary: Ferritins: Furnishing proteins with iron

Justin M. Bradley, Nick E. Le Brun and Geoffrey R. Moore\*

Centre for Molecular and Structural Biochemistry, School of Chemistry, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, NR4 7TJ, UK

### Article Info

#### Article Notes

Received: October 13, 2016

Accepted: October 28, 2016

#### \*Correspondence:

Geoffrey R. Moore, Centre for Molecular and Structural Biochemistry, School of Chemistry, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, NR4 7TJ, UK  
E-mail: [g.moore@uea.ac.uk](mailto:g.moore@uea.ac.uk)

© 2016 Moore GR. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

### Text

Numerous diseases in humans are connected with the metabolism of iron. Indeed, on some estimates diseases related to iron affect more of the World's population than any other disease. This is because iron deficiency affects over 30% of the world's population<sup>1</sup> and this can lead to anemia<sup>2</sup>. Often the lack of iron results from poor diet, though there are genetic defects that lead to iron deficiency, such as the rare disease iron-refractory iron deficiency anemia<sup>3</sup>. Too much iron can lead to iron-overload diseases<sup>4</sup>, which are often called hemochromatosis<sup>4</sup>. Again, such diseases can have a dietary origin as well as a genetic origin. Central to the problem of humans attaining the right levels of iron are some of its chemical properties. Importantly, iron can exist in different oxidation states. The most common ones in biology are the ferrous state ( $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ ) and the ferric state ( $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ ). The ability of iron to cycle between these states is an important feature of many of the biochemical pathways that require iron for their normal function but such cycling can also be detrimental if not controlled properly. The conversion of  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  to  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  requires an oxidant and the most common one in biology is dioxygen,  $\text{O}_2$ , although hydrogen peroxide ( $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ ), a natural by-product of aerobic respiration, can also oxidize iron efficiently. The propensity of  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  to react with these species underpins many of the problems with too much iron. Unlike the majority of normal biochemical pathways, uncontrolled reactions of  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  with  $\text{O}_2$  can produce so-called 'reactive oxygen species' (ROS). ROS are much more reactive than  $\text{O}_2$  and lead readily to tissue damage by reacting with components of cells, such as proteins and membranes. While many tissues have mechanisms for eliminating ROS before they can do harm, these mechanisms can be overwhelmed by the amount of ROS produced in cases of iron-overload. Another potential problem with iron is that while  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  is relatively soluble in water under physiological conditions,  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  is highly insoluble. This leads to iron-containing particles being deposited in tissues where iron-overload is present.

The database maintained by the National Organization for Rare Diseases (NORD)<sup>5</sup> lists four rare diseases involving iron-overload. These are: African iron overload, Neonatal hemochromatosis, Infantile neuroaxonal dystrophy, and Pantothenate linase-associated neurodegeneration. The first two have, at least in part, a genetic component that directly affects iron metabolism, whilst the genetic defects causing the latter two concern enzymes that have organic substrates but whose misbehavior leads to iron particles accumulating in the brain. It seems probable that there are other rare diseases involving iron not yet in the database as NORD state

that their database is not comprehensive. And as an illustration of this, iron-refractory iron deficiency anemia<sup>3</sup> is not in the NORD database.

Because of the twin problems with iron of solubility and the formation of ROS, organisms throughout the animal, plant and microbial kingdoms have evolved an elegant means of storing iron that is not needed for immediate biochemical use in a soluble and non-toxic form. What they do is to deposit the iron within a protein shell<sup>6-9</sup>. The protein is ferritin, and this was the subject of our review<sup>9</sup>. Each molecule of ferritin can hold as many as 4,300 Fe<sup>3+</sup> ions; it was the high iron content that led Laufberger<sup>10</sup>, who first described ferritin, to coin the name ferritin from the Latin ferratus, which means shod or furnished with iron. Since Laufberger's publication<sup>10</sup> there have been numerous original research papers and many excellent reviews published about it, such as references<sup>6-8</sup>. Our article<sup>9</sup> took a different approach to many of these. Two widely held views in the ferritin field are that ferritins in the animal, plant and microbial kingdoms share a common evolutionary origin<sup>11</sup>, and that their primary function is as iron stores to protect the organism. However, it is clear that not all ferritins behave the same in *in vitro* assays and that many differ in the nature of the genetic control of their production. These observations underpinned our proposal that different ferritins have different primary functions<sup>9</sup>. An example of one such alternative function is the rapid removal of O<sub>2</sub>, in which ferritin acts as an antioxidant since deposition of Fe<sup>3+</sup> within ferritin starts with Fe<sup>2+</sup>, which is used to reduce O<sub>2</sub> to H<sub>2</sub>O at catalytic sites within the protein. A ferritin whose primary function is to rapidly remove O<sub>2</sub> may experience different evolutionary pressures to one whose function is to rapidly sequester iron in a non-toxic form, or to rapidly release iron from its mineralized store.

A major topic in our review<sup>9</sup> was how ferritin handles iron. This has been intensively studied for more than 70 years but, as we noted<sup>9</sup>, it is not known precisely how any ferritin accumulates iron, let alone releases it, and both are still major areas of activity. Whilst most *in vitro* studies of iron release do not involve damage to the protein component, in humans an intracellular degradative process, ferritinophagy<sup>12-14</sup>, directs ferritin to lysosomes where it is degraded, releasing iron to the cell. Whether there is a non-destructive release mechanism in humans as well is not certain but it is clear that in bacteria iron release from some ferritins occurs without damage to the protein component<sup>15,16</sup>. The elegant work of Theil and her colleagues<sup>17</sup> with frog ferritin has provided a picture of iron uptake by this protein, but it is not clear how much of this holds for other ferritins. This mechanistic area is one of continuing debate, with a common view that we set out in our review<sup>9</sup>, that different ferritins accumulate iron by different mechanisms. However, a counter view is that there is a single mechanism that holds for all ferritins<sup>18</sup>.

Our review<sup>9</sup> did not address directly the human health issues connected with iron metabolism but we did describe some studies<sup>19,20</sup> on ferritins from patients suffering with beta-thalassemia and hemochromatosis. We noted<sup>9</sup> that it was unclear whether the results of these studies can be extrapolated to ferritins from humans not suffering from such diseases since the flow of iron into ferritin is likely to be different under normal and iron-overload conditions. It is probable for the rare diseases in the NORD database<sup>5</sup> referred to above, and for the more common cases of severe iron-overload, that it is not the absolute amount of iron in a tissue that is the key factor, but the amount relative to the available storage capacity of ferritin.

## Funding

This work was supported by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (grant BB/I021884/1). GRM thanks the Leverhulme Trust for an Emeritus Fellowship (EM-2014-088).

## References

1. World Health Organization. Global Burden of Diseases 2004 update. 20 Avenue Appia, 1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland. 2008. Date accessed: 8 July 2016. [http://www.who.int/healthinfo/global\\_burden\\_disease/GBD\\_report\\_2004update\\_full.pdf](http://www.who.int/healthinfo/global_burden_disease/GBD_report_2004update_full.pdf)
2. Miller JL. Iron deficiency anemia: a common and curable disease. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2013; 3:1-13
3. Finberg KE, Heeney MM, Campagna DR, Aydinok Y, Pearson HA, Hartman KR, et al. Mutations in Tmprss6 cause iron-refractory iron deficiency anemia (IRIDA). Nat Genet. 2008; 40: 569-571
4. National Institute of Health: National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases. 9000 Rockville Pike Bethesda, MD 20892, USA. Date accessed: 8 July 2016. <https://www.niddk.nih.gov/health-information/health-topics/liver-disease/hemochromatosis/Pages/facts.aspx>
5. National Organization for Rare Diseases. 55 Kenosia Avenue, Danbury, CT 06810, USA. Date accessed: 8 July 2016. <http://rarediseases.org/about/>
6. Harrison PM, Arosio P. The ferritins: molecular properties, iron storage function and cellular regulation. Biochim Biophys Acta. 1996; 1275:161-203
7. Theil EC. Ferritin: the protein nanocage and iron biomineral in health and in disease. Inorg Chem. 2013; 52:12223-12233
8. Arosio P, Carmona F, Gozzelino R, Maccarinelli F, Poli M. The importance of eukaryotic ferritins in iron handling and cytoprotection. Biochem J. 2015; 472:1-15
9. Bradley J, Le Brun NE, Moore GR. Ferritins: furnishing proteins with iron. JBC. 2016; 21:13-28
10. Laufberger V. Sur la Cristallisation de la Ferritine. Bull Soc Chim biol. 1937; 19:1575-1582
11. Andrews SC. The Ferritin-like superfamily: Evolution of the biological iron storeman from a rubrerythrin-like ancestor. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2010; 1800:691-705
12. Kidane TZ, Sauble E, Linder MC. Release of iron from ferritin requires lysosomal activity. American Journal of Physiology Cell Physiology. 2006; 291:C445-455
13. Asano T, Komatsu M, Yamaguchi-Iwai Y, Ishikawa F, Mizushima N,

- Iwai K. Distinct mechanisms of ferritin delivery to lysosomes in iron-depleted and iron-replete cells. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*. 2011; 31:2040–2052
14. Mancias JD, Wang X, Gygi SP, Harper JW, Kimmelman AC. Quantitative proteomics identifies NCOA4 as the cargo receptor mediating ferritinophagy. *Nature*. 2014; 509:105–109
15. Yao H, Wang Y, Lovell S, Kumar R, Ruvinsky AM, Battaile KP, et al. The structure of the BfrB-Bfd complex reveals protein-protein interactions enabling iron release from bacterioferritin. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2012; 134:13470–13481
16. Wang Y, Yao H, Cheng Y, Lovell S, Battaile KP, Midaugh CR, et al. Characterization of the Bacterioferritin/Bacterioferritin Associated Ferredoxin Protein-Protein Interaction in Solution and Determination of Binding Energy Hot Spots. *Biochemistry*. 2015; 54:6162–6175
17. Theil EC, Tosha T, Behera RK. Solving Biology's Iron Chemistry Problem with Ferritin Protein Nanocages. *Acc Chem Res*. 2016; 49:784-91
18. Honarmand Ebrahimi K, Hagedoorn PL, Hagen WR. Unity in the biochemistry of the iron-storage proteins ferritin and bacterioferritin. *Chem Rev*. 2015; 115:295-326
19. St Pierre TG1, Tran KC, Webb J, Macey DJ, Heywood BR, Sparks NH, et al. Organ-specific crystalline structures of ferritin cores in beta-thalassemia/hemoglobin E. *Biol Met*. 1991; 4:162-165
20. Pan YH, Sader K, Powell JJ, Bleloch A, Gass M, Trinick J, Warley A, Li A, Brydson R, Brown A. 3D morphology of the human hepatic ferritin mineral core: new evidence for a subunit structure revealed by single particle analysis of HAADF-STEM images. *J Struct Biol*. 2009; 166:22–31